

Thank you for hosting one of the open space sessions on relevant practice experience/needs to share and potentially build on to achieve transformational change! Please use this template to record your main results as input for the co-chairs' report. And simultaneously answer the question "How does this help us to identify which changes need to happen?" in slide (feel free to ask one of the participants to support you)

Your Topic for exchange (basic description of your experience/ challenge/ hypothesis):

- o Aichi II is not perfect, but it has worked in part and we should keep it
- o We must improve upon it, especially in terms of qualitative elements
- o Impact on land/sea degradation people have outside own nation must be accounted for (ex: trade)
- o We need a 100% target, what about sustainably managing the rest?
- o One size doesn't fit all, some can protect more & some less (3 conditions)
- o Restoration must be considered + expanding sustainably used areas
- o Spatial targets are means to an end
- o We need to appropriately value economic value of PAs & OECMS
- o Highest political levels don't need more biodiversity maps, they need political will and funding

Basic overview of participants (please ask for this at the beginning of the session):

Rough number of participants: 13

Rough overview of represented organisations/countries:

Slovenia - Min As
 Georgy - CFN (Campaign for Nature)
 Bente - Norway
 LBN
 Brian - ~~CFN~~ CFN
 Andy - UK gov.

EAT - bio
 Alice - Acad. of Science (China) (Academy of sciences)
 Biodiversity Intertitl
 Univ. of Troms (Arctic U. of Norway)
~~Council of Norway~~
 Council of Norway

How does this help us to identify which changes need to happen?

- We must:
- * Increase % target
 - * Avoid strict division on sust. use and protected areas
 - * We should not only report every 4 years but spur earlier action and implementation through ^{new} structure
 - * Include telecoupling effects
 - * Financial discussions must happen same time as policy decision
 - * Spatial targets must be viewed in larger umbrella of all targets
 - * Bold targets must be easy to communicate
 - * Decisions must be based on updated knowledge
 - * Base this on ecosystem living rather than country
 - * Focus Aichi II on biodiversity outcome we want to see
 - * We need mechanisms in place & coastal zone management based on these mechanisms

Needs to be tailored to global & local
 give room better part of it and how it will combine